



March 25, 2010

First Lady Michelle Obama  
The White House  
1600 Pennsylvania Avenue NW  
Washington, DC 20500

Dear Mrs. Obama:

We, the undersigned, are partners in the Collaborative on Health and the Environment, an international network of scientists, health professionals and advocates committed to using the best available science to improve the health of our families and our communities. We are deeply grateful for your leadership in facing the challenge of the obesity epidemic, which has concerned many of us for years. We also strongly support the initiatives that you are undertaking to address this major public health issue, which is having such a devastating effect on the health of American families.

We would like to underscore in this letter that the obesity epidemic is the result of many interacting factors and not just a lifestyle challenge—something that you acknowledge, but is rarely highlighted in the media or understood by the general public. The Institute of Medicine (IOM), for example, has publicly stated the importance of access to healthy food to help curb the increasing prevalence of obesity.[1] Along these lines, it is clear the federal government, given its ability to influence food production through subsidies, needs to incorporate strategies to promote more healthful and less calorie-dense, nutrient-poor food.

Other factors contributing to obesity include socioeconomic status and genetic predisposition, as well as poorly designed communities that discourage walking and biking.

In addition to these issues, the prestigious international Endocrine Society published a seminal report last year stating that, “scientific research implies the impact of environmental substances in the generative roots of obesity.”[2] The rapidly growing body of scientific evidence suggests that chemicals known as endocrine disruptors may be associated with a range of health concerns, including various cancers, developmental disabilities and infertility. In addition, some of these chemicals may also act as “obesogens” – that is, substances that trigger the propensity to develop obesity. Of critical importance, these obesogens appear to reprogram metabolism starting before birth, thereby increasing a child’s predisposition for obesity before he/she is even born. In addition, research shows that these chemicals may also promote the development and maturation of fat cells throughout life. **In other words, we cannot ignore the evidence that these chemicals, found in everyday products and in our food and water, may also contribute to this epidemic.**

The science on obesogens is very clear in animal studies (please see the attached article in press for the San Francisco Medical Society Journal). Although we have little information yet about how obesogens impact humans, there is some initial research in humans suggesting the urgent need for further study.[3]

Commonweal, PO Box 316, Bolinas, CA 94924  
Phone: (415) 868-0970  
CHE Director phone: (360) 331-7989  
[www.healthandenvironment.org](http://www.healthandenvironment.org)  
[info@healthandenvironment.org](mailto:info@healthandenvironment.org)

The truth is that we as a society need to recognize all the interacting factors that can contribute to obesity—including the risk that exposures to obesogens can pose. Any campaign that does not take into account the role of these chemicals in the obesity epidemic will run counter to President Obama’s promise that this administration will be guided by the best available science. **Given this, the emerging science clearly indicates that national chemical policy reform is an essential ingredient of your campaign against childhood obesity.**

We congratulate you on your foresightedness in choosing obesity as one of your signature issues as First Lady. Please know we would also be happy to discuss ways to address the full range of concerns associated with obesity and to work with you and your colleagues to champion prevention as essential to real health care reform for all Americans.

Thank you again for your leadership and consideration.

With best wishes,

**Bruce Blumberg, PhD**, Professor, Departments of Developmental and Cell Biology and Pharmaceutical Sciences, University of California, Irvine

**Susan Braun**, Executive Director, Commonweal

**Linda C. Guidice, MD, PhD, MSc**, Professor and Chair, Department of Obstetrics, Gynecology and Reproductive Science, The Robert B. Jaffe, MD Endowed Professor in the Reproductive Sciences, Director, Center for Research on Origins and Biological Consequences of Human Infertility, Director UCSF Women’s Reproductive Health Research Career Development Center, University of California, San Francisco

**Andrea Gore, PhD**, Gustavus and Louise Pfeiffer Professor, Division of Pharmacology and Toxicology, The University of Texas, Austin

**Richard J. Jackson, MD, MPH**, Chair and Professor, Environmental Health Sciences, School of Public Health, University of California, Los Angeles

**Phil Landrigan, MD, MSc**, Ethel H. Wise Professor and Chairman, Department of Preventive Medicine, Professor of Pediatrics and Director of the Center for Children’s Health and the Environment, Mount Sinai School of Medicine

**Philip R. Lee, MD**, Former United States Assistant Secretary of Health, Chancellor of the University of California at San Francisco, Professor at Stanford University

**Michael Lerner, PhD**, President, Commonweal

**Elise Miller, MEd**, Director, Collaborative on Health and the Environment

**John Peterson Myers, PhD**, Founder, CEO and Chief Scientist of Environmental Health Sciences

**Ted Schettler, MD, MPH**, Science Director, Science and Environmental Health Network

**Carlos Sonnenschein, MD**, Professor, Department of Anatomy and Cellular Biology, Tufts University School of Medicine

**Ana Soto, MD**, Professor, Department of Anatomy and Cellular Biology, Tufts University School of Medicine

**R. Thomas Zoeller, PhD**, Chair and Professor, Biology Department, Morrill Science Center

-----  
[1] Institute of Medicine reports: The Public Health Effects of Food Deserts. Workshop Summary, Local Government Actions to Prevent Childhood Obesity and Progress in Preventing Childhood Obesity: Focus on Industry—Brief Summary: Institute of Medicine Regional Symposium. Available on the IOM website, <http://www.iom.edu/Reports.aspx?search=obesity>, viewed March 11, 2010.

[2] Diamanti-Kandarakis E et al. Endocrine-disrupting chemicals: An Endocrine Society Scientific Statement. *Endocr Rev.* 2009 Jun;30(4):293-342. Available online at [www.endo-society.org/journals/scientificstatements/](http://www.endo-society.org/journals/scientificstatements/), viewed March 11, 2010.

[3] Grün F, Blumberg B. Endocrine disrupters as obesogens. *Mol Cell Endocrinol.* 2009 May 25;304(1-2):19-29. Heindel JJ, vom Saal FS. Role of nutrition and environmental endocrine disrupting chemicals during the perinatal period on the aetiology of obesity. *Mol Cell Endocrinol.* 2009 May 25;304(1-2):90-6.

Will reducing chemical exposures combat the obesity epidemic?

J.P. Myers, Ph.D. Chief Scientist, Environmental Health Sciences  
421 Park St. Charlottesville VA 22902

### *Introduction*

Obesity has reached epidemic proportions in many countries around the world. This is especially evident in the United States, where by 2002 30% of adults met the criteria of 'clinically obese' (Hedley *et al.* 2004). After two decades of sharp growth in the final fifth of the 20<sup>th</sup> Century, one in six US adolescents is now obese (Ogden *et al.* 2010).

How serious is this trend? Enough to engage the White House, with First Lady Michelle Obama launching a campaign in February, 2010, to curb childhood obesity. Unfortunately, it appears that the focus of that campaign may be missing some very big opportunities.

Interventions to combat the obesity epidemic, including the new White House effort, have targeted what are widely believed to be the two principal contributors to obesity: insufficient caloric expenditure, and excess caloric intake ("the big two", Keith *et al.* 2006). Yet despite widespread and expensive efforts focused on "the big two" by public health agencies, private foundations, educators and medical practitioners, the high prevalence in youth has remained steady for the past 10 years (Ogden *et al.* 2010).

A recent review of "the big two" concluded that undue attention was being devoted to reduced physical activity and excessive caloric intake "leading to neglect of other plausible mechanisms and well-intentioned but potentially ill-founded proposals for reducing obesity rates" (Keith *et al.* 2006).

Since publication of that review, substantial evidence has emerged that increases the plausibility of one of the alternative mechanisms suggested by Keith *et al.*: disruption of weight regulation by endocrine-disrupting chemicals (EDCs) in the environment. Plausible mechanisms have emerged from animal and cell research, and some epidemiological studies have suggested associations between certain contaminants and obesity (Newbold *et al.* 2007; Heindel and vom Saal 2009).

This is potentially very good news for the fight against obesity. If EDCs are contributing to the epidemic, then measures taken to reduce exposures may offer a practical means to alleviate some portion of this disease burden. Several of the implicated contaminants are not persistent, and are eliminated relatively quickly from human fluids and tissue. Previous experience demonstrates very clearly that policy interventions can lead to dramatic declines in US contamination levels, even with highly persistent compounds (e.g., lead, DDT, hexachlorobenzene).

### *Developmental origins of adult disease*

Concerns about the potential contribution of EDCs to childhood obesity build from two considerations, one out of human biology, the other from animal experiments:

First, it is now well established that events early in human life, particularly in the womb, can have long-term consequences for health, including increased risks of heart disease, obesity and type 2 diabetes. Studies of people clearly show that fetal nutrition plays a vital role in setting risk to these chronic diseases (Gluckman *et al.* 2007).

Second, while research on ‘developmental origins’ initially focused largely on nutrition, animal research proves that early life is also a window of sensitivity to chemical exposures, which can powerfully affect the course of development and cause chronic diseases later in the life of the animal.

Prior to 2005, the experimental literature is peppered with scattered examples in which animals in the experimental group show weight gain compared to controls (Baille-Hamilton 2002), but these experiments were never designed to test for weight gain *per se*. Indeed viewed through the lens of traditional toxicology, weight gain is good; it implies health. The toxicologists were concerned more with weight loss, which was seen as an adverse outcome.

In 2005, Newbold *et al.* published results of an experiment expressly designed to test the hypothesis that early life exposure to an EDC could cause adult obesity (Newbold *et al.* 2005). Newbold had noticed that experimental animals (mice) used in her research on the synthetic estrogen diethylstilbestrol (DES), often developed into morbidly obese adults following exposure to DES right after birth. In the experiment Newbold *et al.* treated the animals with approximately one part per billion of the animal’s body weight per day (1µg/kg/day) for days 1-5 of neonatal life. While the females did not differ from controls during treatment, by adulthood the DES-treated female mice were obese (Fig. 1).

A series of studies now unequivocally demonstrate that obesity in adult animals can be caused by exposures to specific chemicals in the womb (reviewed in Newbold *et al.* 2009; Heindel and vom Saal 2009). They also shed light on the potential molecular mechanisms underlying the effect: many of these chemicals alter the behavior of specific genes that are involved in determining the number of fat cells (adipocytes) an individual will have as an adult. Animals exposed to contaminants that increase the activity of these genes wind up with more fat cells and thus are at greater risk to obesity. Contaminants that have this effect have been termed “obesogens” (Grün *et al.* 2006). Studies also suggest other mechanisms, including interference with neurochemical signals that provide information to the brain about hunger.

The list of contaminants implicated by animal studies is substantial, including several estrogenic EDCs like DES (bisphenol A, soy phytoestrogens [particularly

important given widespread use of soy-based infant formula]), certain phthalates and a family of compounds called organotins.

It is particularly troubling that human exposure to these is quite widespread, if not ubiquitous, and the exposure is at levels capable of causing obesogenic effects in animals. For example, the U.S. Centers for Disease Control reports that bisphenol A can be measured in over 90% of Americans, with higher levels in youth.

Almost no human data are available to test the obesogen hypothesis in people. No epidemiological evidence exists, because the hypothesis is so new. A few studies associate chemical levels measured in adults with obesity (e.g., Stahlhut et al. 2007) but these are not relevant to a developmental model. One *in vitro* experiment, however, has demonstrated that exposure to obesogens increases the rate of conversion of human stem cells to adipocytes (Kirchner et al. 2010), confirming the validity of the basic mechanism and the relevance of the animal studies to people.

### *Conclusion*

Chronic diseases are rarely the result of a single risk factor (Kirchner et al. 2010). Such is almost certainly the case for obesity. Given the failures of current intervention attempts that focus on “the big two”, and the serious health and economic burden that obesity is imposing on people around the world, the obesity epidemic challenges public health and medical professionals to look widely at potential causes, including those that at first might seem ‘outside-the-box.’ These emerging studies, summarized briefly above, indicate that a substantial—but as yet undetermined—portion of the obesity epidemic may be caused by endocrine-disrupting chemicals. At the very least, this argues for urgent investment in additional research designed to test the obesogen hypothesis. It may also point toward interventions that are far more practical and effective than those indicated by a focus on “the big two.” That would be a big win for medicine and public health.

### *References*

Baille-Hamilton, PF. 2002. Chemical toxins: a hypothesis to explain the global obesity epidemic. *J. Altern. Complement. Med.* 8:185-192.

Gluckman, PD, MA Hanson and AS Beedle. 2007. Early life events and their consequences for later disease: a life history and evolutionary perspective *Am. J. Hum. Biol.* 19:1-19.

Grün, F, H Watanabe, Z Zamanian, L Maeda, K Arima, R Cubacha, DM Gardiner, J Kanno, T Iguchi and B Blumberg. 2006. Endocrine-disruption organotin compounds are potent inducers of adipogenesis in vertebrates. *Mol. Endocrinol.* 20:2131-2155.

Hedley, AA, CL Ogden, CL Johnson, MD Carroll, LR Curtin and KM Flegal. 2004. Prevalence of overweight and obesity among US children, adolescents, and adults 1999–2002. *JAMA* 291 (23), 2847–2850.

Heindel, JJ and FS vom Saal. 2009. Role of nutrition and environmental endocrine disrupting chemicals during the perinatal period on the aetiology of obesity. *Mol Cell Endocrinol.* 25;304:90-6.

Keith, SW, DT Redden, PT Katzmarzyk, MM Boggiano et al. 2006. Putative contributors to the secular increase in obesity: exploring the roads less traveled. *Int. J Obesity* 30:1585-1594.

Kirchner, S, T Kieu, C Chow, S Casey and Bruce Blumberg. 2010. Prenatal exposure to the environmental obesogen tributyltin predisposes multipotent stem cells to become adipocytes. *Molecular Endocrinology* doi: 10.1210/me.2009-0261

Newbold, RR, E Padilla-Banks, RJ Snyder, TM Phillips and WN Jefferson. 2007. Developmental exposure to endocrine disruptors and the obesity epidemic. *Reprod. Toxicol.* 23:290-296.

Ogden, CL, MD Carroll, LR Curtin, MM Lamb and KM Flegal. 2010. Prevalence of high body mass index in US children and adolescents, 2007-2008. *JAMA* 303:242-249.

Stahlhut, RW, E van Wijngaarden, TD Dye, S Cook and SH Swan. 2007. Concentrations of urinary phthalate metabolites are associated with increased waist circumference and insulin resistance in adult U.S. males. *Environ Health Persp* 115: 867-882.

## Figures

Fig 1. Mice exposed around birth to 1 part per billion diethylstilbestrol ( $1\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}/\text{day}$ ) for days 1-5 after birth become obese in adulthood (Newbold et al. 2007). Figure courtesy of Retha Newbold, NIEHS. Control animal on left, exposed animal on right.

