The Science Behind the Particulate Matter (PM) Standards

George Thurston, Sc.D. New York University School of Medicine NYU-EPA PM Center, Deputy Director Tuxedo, NY 10987

For Presentation at: STAPPA AND ALAPCO 2005 FALL MEMBERSHIP MEETING OCTOBER 22-26, 2005

# **Discussion Topics**

• What's Been Learned Since the Last Standard Setting Process in 1997 regarding:

- PM Health Effects Mechanisms

- Epidemiological Bases of the PM<sub>2.5</sub> Short and Long-Term Standards

- The Health Benefits of Various Standards
- Implications to PM<sub>2.5</sub> Standard Setting

# State of the Science in 1998

- Dozens of epidemiology studies from around the world reported associations between ambient PM and cardiac mortality and morbidity
- PM levels are very low compared with other particle exposures:

One cigarette =  $10 \times 10^{10}$  x more than typical 24 hour exposure to PM

• No widely accepted patho-physiological pathway or mechanism could explain how a person could die from exposure to PM at such low levels of air pollution.

# The Epidemiological Pyramid of Air Pollution Effects



# People Most Affected by Ambient Air Pollution

- <u>Older Adults</u>
- <u>Persons with Pre-Existing Respiratory Disease</u> (e.g., Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease, COPD, such as emphysema, those with Cardiac problems)
- <u>Children</u>, especially those with Asthma.
- Healthy adults who work or exercise outdoors.
- <u>Persons with inadequate health care</u>, such as the poor and working poor.

# Particle Deposition in the Lung

- Larger particles deposit in the upper airways (nose and throat) and are cleared out
- Smaller particles penetrate deep into the lungs and stay there longer





The very smallest (ultrafine) particles may enter the blood and travel throughout the body.

# **The First NRC Report**

A Key Question in 1998:

What are the underlying mechanisms (pulmonary, vascular, cardiac) that can explain the epidemiological findings of mortality and morbidity associated with exposure to ambient particulate matter?



#### WHAT WE HAVE LEARNED IN RECENT YEARS

#### Potential Effects of PM on the Pulmonary System







## PM Depresses Clearance and Inactivation of Bacteria

Epidemiology studies report associations between PM and increased incidence of hospitalization for respiratory infections.

Inactivation

#### **Host Resistance Model**



	Control	Woodstove
% Mortality (Streptococcus)	0	21

Time after Infection (Hrs)

Gilmour et al., 2002

# **PM Exposure Exacerbates Asthma**

Change in exhaled nitric oxide per 10 μg/m<sup>3</sup> increase in PM<sub>2.5</sub>in children with asthma



# Potential Effects of PM on the Cardiovascular System



#### PM Affects Autonomic Nervous System Control of the Heart

#### Elderly humans exposed to fine CAPS experience decreases in heart rate variability (HRV).



People with cardiovascular disease who have decreased HRV have a higher risk of getting a heart attack.

Devlin et al, 2003

#### PM Triggers Cardiac Arrhythmias in Humans

The number of times that implanted defibrillators discharged were related to prior days levels of PM and PM components

PM<sub>2.5</sub> 1.22 (0.7,2.0) BC 2.16 (1.0,4.9)



Black Carbon (lag 2)

Peters et al, 2000

# **PM Causes Injury to Cardiac Cells**



Rats exposed to ambient PM one day per week for 16 weeks

Kodavanti et al., 2003

#### **PM Increases Vascular Inflammation**



#### Other Epidemiology Also Confirms a Rise in C-Reactive Protein (CRP) during Higher PM (Source: Peters et al, EHJ, 2001)





Figure 2 Multivariate regression results for quintiles of total suspended particulates (TSP) on C-reactive protein concentrations above  $5.7 \text{ mg} \cdot 1^{-1}$  (90th percentile).

# Acidic Sulfates, Transition Metals, and Oxidative Stress

- <u>Transition metals</u> (e.g., Fe and V) can mediate electron transfer via Fenton Reactions causing oxidative stress.
- Oxidative Stress (OS) can lead to cellular damage:
  - OS is known to be involved in inflammation, tissue aging, cardiac ischemia, arthritis, cancer, and fibrosis (Mossman and Marsh, 1989, Janssen et al, 1993, Costa et al, 1989a, 1989b; Ewing, 1983; Slaga, 1983; Harman, 1981).
- The presence of <u>acids in a particle greatly enhance the</u> <u>transition metals' solubility</u> and, therefore, their bioavailability, increasing OS.
  - E.g., See Veronesi et al., 1999, <u>Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol.</u>, 155:106-115;
    Carter et al., 1997, <u>Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol.</u>, 146:180-188; and Chen et al.
    1990, J. <u>Toxicol. Environ. Hlth</u>. 29:169-184.

# PM Increases Levels of Clotting and Coagulation Factors

Humans exposed to CAPS have changes in several blood factors which could potentially lead to a more prothrombogenic environment.

The net changes in these factors could potentially lead to an environment conducive to the formation of blood clots.



Devlin et al, 2004

#### **PM Increases Arterial Plaque Thickness**

Subchronic exposure of ApoE-/-LDLr-/- double knockout mice to CAPS for 6h/day, 5d/week, for 6 months (average of 110 µg/m<sup>3</sup>) increases plaque cellularity.





Effects of CAPs on aorta plaque size demonstrated in ApoE KnockOut Mice

Lesion area of logitudinal sections

P = 0.03





# Toxicology Progress Summary

# **Mechanistic Studies Have:**

- Defined several biologically plausible pathophysiological pathways by which PM can increase mortality and morbidity.
- Provided coherence to the epidemiology studies and extended their observations, thus strengthening the science in support of the PM standard.

### **Epidemiologic Evidence Also Strengthened Since 1998**

- Dozens of new short-term studies confirming the fine particle-mortality and morbidity associations.
- An extended analysis of the original American Cancer Society (ACS) prospective cohort study confirmed previous results, and found associations between long-term exposure and lung cancer.

#### Mortality Risk of Long-Term Fine PM Exposure Decrease with Exposure Below 10 ug/m<sup>3</sup>

(Pope, Burnett, Thun, Calle, Krewski, Ito, and Thurston) (JAMA, 2002)



# JAMA Study Conclusions

- Long-term exposure to fossil fuel combustion air pollution, and especially to fine particulate matter, is associated with increased annual risk of mortality.
- For lung cancer, living in a more polluted city is associated with approximately a 20% increase in residents' risks of dying from lung cancer.
- This is roughly comparable to the cancer risk of passive smoking exposure from living with a smoker.
- The cancer risk from air pollution appears greatest for non-smokers and those with lower socio-economic status.

#### **Cardiovascular Mortality Most Affected by Long Term Particulate Matter Air Pollution Exposures**



Relative Risks and 95%ile CI's

for a 10 ug/m<sup>3</sup> increase in Annual  $PM_{2.5}$  mass concentration (SOURCE: Pope, Burnett, Thurston, Thun, Calle, Krewski, and Godleski, <u>CIRCULATION</u>, 2004)

#### New PM<sub>2.5</sub> Short-term Studies Indicate PM-Mortality Association Exists Below 15 ug/m<sup>3</sup> Mean Concentration

PM<sub>2.5</sub> Mortality % Effect (+/- 95% CI) Plotted vs. Mean Study PM<sub>2.5</sub> Conc.



#### New PM<sub>2.5</sub> Short-term Studies Indicate that Co-Pollutants Do Not Modify the PM Effect (Source: EPA PM Staff Paper, 2005)





#### New Size-Specific PM Studies Suggest That Urban Coarse Particles (PM<sub>10</sub>-PM<sub>2.5</sub>) May Also Associate With Acute Health Effects

(Source: CARB PM Report, 2002)

Figure 7.4 Daily Mortality Increases Associated with Fine and Coarse Particles



# EPA Staff Paper Benefit Analysis of Lowering the Short-Term PM Standard: <u>Annual Standard "Controls" Benefits > 30 ug/m<sup>3</sup></u>



Figure 5-2(a) Estimated percent reduction in PM<sub>2.5</sub>-related short-term mortality risk for alternative standards ( $98^{th}$  percentile form) relative to risk associated with meeting current standards (based on assumed cutpoint equal to policy-relevant background). Risk associated with meeting current PM<sub>2.5</sub> standards, based on ACS extended study, is shown in figures in terms of estimated annual incidence rate and annual incidence (and 95% confidence ranges). Estimated policy-relevant background is 3.5 µg/m<sup>2</sup> in eastern cities and 2.5 µg/m<sup>2</sup> in western cities.

# EPA Staff Paper Benefit Analysis of Lowering the Long-Term PM Standard: More Deaths Avoided by Reducing Annual Avg.



Figure 5A-1(a) Estimated percent reduction in PM<sub>2.5</sub>-related long-term mortality risk for alternative standards (99<sup>th</sup> percentile form) relative to risk associated with meeting current standards (based on assumed cutpoint of 7.5  $\mu g/m^3$ ). Risk associated with meeting current PM<sub>2.5</sub> standards, based on ACS extended study, is shown in figures in terms of estimated annual incidence rate and annual incidence (and 95% confidence ranges).

Most Mortality Benefits are Associated With Lowering the Annual Average PM<sub>2.5</sub> (Source: U.S. EPA PM Staff Paper, 2005)



#### But Mathematically Imposing a Threshold (Cutoff) of Effects on the PM Mortality Curve Reduces the Estimated Benefits



#### Imposing a Threshold of Effects (Cutoff) on the Analysis Inappropriately Slashes Estimates of Clean Air Benefits: <u>EPA Base Case Is Best Estimate</u>

Estimated Mortality Reduction Associated with

a 12ug/m<sup>3</sup>/25 ug/m<sup>3</sup> Standard (Source: U.S. EPA Staff Paper, 2005)



# Implications

- New toxicological research has shown numerous effects and pathways of PM effects indicating that the <u>epidemiological</u> associations with morbidity and mortality are biologically plausible at ambient levels of PM<sub>2.5</sub>.
- New epidemiological research has shown significant mortality and morbidity effects below the present PM<sub>2.5</sub> standards, supporting the setting of new U.S. standards at the lower end of the EPA Staff Paper's range of PM<sub>2.5</sub> standard options.

#### Acknowledgements

The Toxicology Progress portion of this presentation was based largely on progress reports presented at the most recent PM Center Directors' meeting in Washington, DC in October, 2004, including:

- Dr. Morton Lippmann, NYU
- Dr. Lung Chi Chen, NYU
- Dr. Robert Devlin, U.S. EPA